

ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE YEAR 2018

Executive Summary

Clients Assisted and Cases Handled

Total No. of Clients Assisted
Total No. of Cases Handled
Average No. of Clients Assisted by Each Lawyer
Average No. of Cases Handled by Each Lawyer
465

Organizational Outcome: Accessible, efficient, and effective legal service to indigents and other qualified persons assured.

PUBLIC LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	TARGETS	ACCOMPLISHMENTS
A. Outcome Indicators: Number of available lawyer's time spent for each service	24 hours	24 hours
Percentage of cases, including the appealed cases, that were favorably disposed	76.24% (232,600/305,088)	81.34% (369,455/454,232)
Public attorney to court ratio	1:1	1:2
B. Output Indicators:		
 Percentage of hearings for which no postponement is sought by the PAO legal representative 	100% (488,868/488,868)	100% (696,676/696,676)
Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) rate	92.50% (279,604/302,276)	92.58% (281,548/304,113)

•	Percentage of request for non-judicial assistance acted upon within two (2) hours	100% (2,348,484/2,348,484)	100% (3,424,508/3,424,508)
	(2) 110013		

Total No. of Winning Appealed Criminal Cases (For Court of Appeals and Supreme Court only)	369
 Acquittals from Reclusion Temporal Acquittals from Reclusion Perpetua 	114 255
Total No. of Winning Appealed Civil and Special Cases (For Court of Appeals and Supreme Court only)	1,261
Acquittals and Other Favorable Dispositions (RTC & MCTC/MeTC)	294,524
Accused Benefited from: A. Acquittal B. Dismissal with Prejudice C. Granted Motion to Quash D. Granted Demurrer to Evidence E. Provisional Dismissal F. Conviction to Lesser Offense G. Granted Probation H. Won I. Granted Lesser Award J. Dismissal based on Compromise Agreement K. Criminal Cases for Preliminary Investigation: K.1. Case filed in court (Complainant) K.2. Dismissed (Respondent) L. Pre-trial Releases and Other Favorable Dispositions: L.1. Released on Bail (Non-bailable offense) L.2. Released on Recognizance L.3. Diversion Proceedings/Intervention L.4. Suspended Sentence L.5. Maximum Imposable Penalty Served	26,932 48,841 1,397 4,697 61,367 128,975 13,994 73 57 167 3,558 373 597 745 707 951 1,093
❖ Limited Services	910,799
Arraignment Pre-trial Promulgation of judgment Others	123,904 91,615 33,346 661,934

(as Counsel de Oficio, Direct or Cross Examination during trial in the absence of private counsel, Motion for Bail, etc.)

❖ Special Legal Services	26,210
(Pursuant to Sec. 14-A of R.A. 9406 and MOAs)	
❖ Rendition of Quasi-Judicial Services	304,145
Mediation and Conciliation	304,113
Investigation (R.A. 9745 or Anti-Torture Law)	32
❖ Instant Services	9,962,248
Legal Documentation	2,273,721
Oaths Administered	3,600,919
Clients Counseled	4,087,608
❖ Outreach Activities	343,454
Inquest Investigation & Custodial Interrogation	100 700
 Total No. of Clients Assisted 	199,709
2. Barangay Legal Outreach Activities	
 Total No. of Beneficiaries 	143,745
 Nationwide Monthly Jail Visitation of District Offices 	873,556
Nationwide Monthly Jail Visitation of District Offices No. of Detainees/Inmates who were:	873,556
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted	349,960
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court	,
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted:	349,960 457,195
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court	349,960
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on:	349,960 457,195 14,725 882
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on: D.1.Pardon/Executive Clemency/Probation	349,960 457,195 14,725 882 5,826
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on: D.1.Pardon/Executive Clemency/Probation D.2. Motion to Dismiss/Motion to Quash	349,960 457,195 14,725 882 5,826 7,989
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on: D.1.Pardon/Executive Clemency/Probation D.2. Motion to Dismiss/Motion to Quash D.3. Inquest Assistance/Representation	349,960 457,195 14,725 882 5,826 7,989 2,473
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on: D.1.Pardon/Executive Clemency/Probation D.2. Motion to Dismiss/Motion to Quash D.3. Inquest Assistance/Representation D.4. Bail/Recognizance	349,960 457,195 14,725 882 5,826 7,989
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on: D.1.Pardon/Executive Clemency/Probation D.2. Motion to Dismiss/Motion to Quash D.3. Inquest Assistance/Representation D.4. Bail/Recognizance D.5. After serving the possible maximum prison term	349,960 457,195 14,725 882 5,826 7,989 2,473 17,894 5,113
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on: D.1.Pardon/Executive Clemency/Probation D.2. Motion to Dismiss/Motion to Quash D.3. Inquest Assistance/Representation D.4. Bail/Recognizance D.5. After serving the possible maximum prison term D.5. Released on other grounds (i.e. Motion for	349,960 457,195 14,725 882 5,826 7,989 2,473 17,894
No. of Detainees/Inmates who were: A. Interviewed and Assisted B. Provided Legal Representation in Court C. Acquitted: C.1. After trial C.2. On appeal D. Released on: D.1.Pardon/Executive Clemency/Probation D.2. Motion to Dismiss/Motion to Quash D.3. Inquest Assistance/Representation D.4. Bail/Recognizance D.5. After serving the possible maximum prison term	349,960 457,195 14,725 882 5,826 7,989 2,473 17,894 5,113

PAO-Central Office Legal & Medical Jail Visitation and Decongestion Program

•	Total No. of Program Beneficiaries	5,981
•	No. of Beneficiaries of Legal Assistance	490
•	No. of Beneficiaries of Medical Assistance	1,108
•	No. of Beneficiaries of Dental Assistance	354
•	No. of Beneficiaries of Optical Assistance	743
	Total No. of Inmates Released	3,286
	(from various jails in Metro Manila and nearby	
	provinces visited by the PAO-Central Office	
	and followed up by the field offices)	

Legal/Inquest Proceedings Assistance

On their scheduled duties, the public attorneys and staff of the PAO-Central Office have alternately been providing legal and inquest proceedings assistance even during night time, weekends and holidays since October 2009. For this purpose, the PAO hotlines, 929-9436, 426-2801, 426-2450, 426-2987, and 426-2683 (local 106 or 107 during office hours and local 159 after office hours) are open to the public 24/7.

In September 2010, the coverage of this program was expanded to serve the people covered by the regional and district offices of the PAO, nationwide. Since then, the said offices have been rendering legal assistance (e.g. legal advice, attending to the legal needs of suspects in the police stations within the territorial jurisdiction of the concerned PAO regional/district offices) up to 10:00 P.M. everyday (including weekends and holidays). Further, most of the assigned PAO inquest public attorneys and staff therein remain on call, even beyond 10:00 P.M., to attend to inquest calls in police stations nationwide.

• Clients Served 49,732

Training Sessions and Semine	ars Attended	160
 Training Participants 	Lawyers	1,931
J.	Support Personnel	255

Case Load

Out of **351,227 terminated criminal cases**, there were **294,524** or about **83.86% favorable dispositions** obtained by the Public Attorneys from representing indigent clients.

 Total No. of Criminal Cases Handled 	735,430
 Total No. of Cases Terminated 	351,227
 Terminated Cases Favorable to Clients 	294,524
 Total Percentage of Winning Criminal Cases 	83.86%

Out of 15,447 terminated civil cases, there were 11,317 or about 73.26% favorable dispositions obtained by the Public Attorneys from representing indigent clients.

•	Total No. of Civil Cases Handled	45,243
•	Total No. of Cases Terminated	15,447
•	Terminated Cases Favorable to Clients	11,317
•	Total Percentage of Winning Civil Cases	<u>73.26%</u>

Out of **7,656 terminated administrative cases proper**, there were **5,534** or about **72.28% favorable dispositions** obtained by the Public Attorneys from representing indigent clients.

•	Total No. of Administrative Cases Proper Handled	12,043
•	Total No. of Cases Terminated	7,656
•	Terminated Cases Favorable to Clients	5,534
	Total Percentage of Winning Administrative Cases	72.28%

Out of 58,447 terminated prosecutor's office cases handled, 35,126 were terminated.

•	Total No. of Administrative Cases Proper Handled	58,447
	Total No. of Cases Terminated	35,126

Out of 21,283 terminated labor cases, there were 18,093 or about 85.01% favorable dispositions obtained by the Public Attorneys from representing indigent clients.

 Total No. of Labor Cases Handled 	34,727
 Total No. of Cases Terminated 	21,283
 Terminated Cases Favorable to Clients 	18,093
 Total Percentage of Winning Labor Cases 	<u>85.01%</u>

With respect to cases involving violation of Republic Act 9262 (Anti-Violence Against Women and their Children Act), 20,635 cases were handled by PAO, among which 13,540 cases were duly terminated.

•	Total No. of Cases Involving	Women	Victims	of	20,635
	Violation of R.A. 9262				
•	Total No. of Cases Terminated				13,540

On the other hand, with respect to cases involving **Children** in **Conflict with the Law** pursuant to R.A. 9344, **23,377** cases were handled by the PAO, among which **10,362** were duly terminated.

•	Total No. of Cases Involvin	g Childrer	n in	23,377
	Conflict with the Law (CICL)			
•	Total No. of Cases Terminated	k		10,362

Comparative of Actual vs. Target

The largest difference of the actual favorable dispositions of cases versus targets was observed for Criminal Cases garnering a disparity of 8.33%. Followed by Labor Cases (4.46%), Administrative Cases Proper (2.55%), Special Legal Services (2.18%), Appealed Cases (1.10%), Civil Cases (0.58%). The least difference was observed on Prosecutor's Office Cases exceeding the target by only 0.12%. Overall, the actual favorable dispositions of cases (81.34%) surpassed the target set at 76.24% by **5.10%**.

As for the Alternative Dispute Resolutions, the percentage values of the actual output and the target were relatively close with a marginal difference of 0.08%.

Total No. of Clients Assisted by the

PAO-National Labor Relations Commission (NLRC) Sub-Station Located in Banawe, Quezon City	22,227
❖ Total No. of Research Work and Legal	22,221
Opinions for Queries from the Public	
that were Directly Addressed or otherwise	4 827
referred to the PAO	4,02/

Library Services

No. of News Releases from various
 Broadsheets and Tabloids concerning
 1,785
 the Office, its cases, and various
 programs and projects

PAO Equipment

For the period covering January to December 2018, the following office equipment and semi-expendable properties were procured and distributed to various PAO regional and district offices as well as the Central Office, to wit:

 Airconditioner 	52 units
Biometric Time Recorder	24 units
 Chairs 	243 units
 Collapsible Tent 	1 unit
 Compact Camcorder 	1 unit
Computer Machine	578 units
Computer Table	31 units
DSLR Camera	2 units
 Electric Typewriter 	1 unit
 Exhaust Fan 	1 unit
 Fax Machine 	1 unit
 Filing Cabinet 	203 units
 Handycam 	1 unit
 Photocopier Machine 	239 units
Printers	24 units
 Projector 	1 unit
 Scanner 	1 unit
 Solar Panel 	2 units
 Table 	116 units
 Television Set 	1 unit
 Vertical Blinds 	2 units

- Visitor's Chair
- Wall Fan
- Water Dispenser

217 units 1 unit 3 units

The Office of the Chief Public Attorney

- The Office of the Chief Public Attorney received/answered 64,793 communications from January to December, 2018;
- The Office of the Chief Public Attorney actually served 32,427 clients during this period;
- The Chief Public Attorney served as the Keynote Speaker during the 1st National Congress of the Citizen National Guard on July 14, 2018, at the Amoranto Multipurpose Hall, Gate 4, Scout Chuatoco, Quezon City;
- The Chief Public Attorney, together with PAO Special Panel of Senior Lawyers, personally handled and/or led the following cases, and/or rendered legal assistance to the following individuals, inter alia, to wit:

a. Criminal and civil cases filed for Dengvaxia related cases

In connection with **Department Order No. 792, s. 2017** directing the PAO "to extend **free legal assistance** in civil, criminal, and administrative cases to all possible victims of **Dengvaxia related injuries**, **illnesses and deaths**," and as a response to the request of the families of the said victims, the Chief Public Attorney and her legal team were able to file thirty **(30) criminal cases** at the Department of Justice, and seventeen **(17) civil cases** in various branches of Regional Trial Court in Quezon City, as of December 31, 2018.

b. Policemen involved in Kian Delos Santos's case charged with Murder

The policemen who were indicted in the case of Kian Loyd Delos Santos (Kian) were **found guilty of Murder** by RTC Judge Rodolfo P. Azucena, Jr. on November 29, 2018.

Kian was the 17-year-old minor who died on August 16, 2017 while certain members of the Caloocan Police were conducting an anti-illegal drug operation in Caloocan City. The police contended that a shoot-out led to Kian's death. However, this was contrary to the CCTV footage which showed that Kian was dragged by the police to the place where he was killed. The evidence on the videotape bolstered the veracity of the findings of the forensic examination conducted by the PAO Forensic Laboratory led by its Director, Atty. Erwin P. Erfe, M.D. The said forensic examination showed that Kian sustained three (3) aunshot wounds – two (2) in the head which may have been inflicted while he was on the ground on a prone position and one (1) in the back – contrary to the Philippine National Police (PNP) report that Kian only sustained two (2) gunshot wounds in the head.

On August 25, 2017, with the assistance of the Chief Public Attorney and her legal team, Kian's parents lodged a complaint for Murder against members of the Caloocan Police involved in the said anti-illegal drug operation before the National Prosecution Service of the Department of Justice. Preliminary investigation was conducted. Then, the DOJ State Prosecutors, headed by State Prosecutor Tofel Austria filed the cases at the Regional Trial Court of Caloocan. The DOJ State Prosecutors actively presented their evidence and witnesses, while the Chief Public Attorney and her legal team assisted Kian's parents. Judge Azucena, Jr. promulgated the guilty verdict in the Murder case on November 29, 2018.

- The Chief Public Attorney received the following prestigious awards, among others, to wit:
 - a. Certificate of Appreciation conferred by the Legal Aid Foundation of Taiwan, conveying its gratitude for Dr. Acosta's "steadfast support at the 2005, 2009, 2014, and 2018 International Forum on Legal Aid" of the said international organization, since her "participation and contributions have been invaluable to the success of the events," given on November 3, 2018, Taiwan;
 - **b. 2018 Lifetime Achievement Award** conferred by the Gawad Amerika Foundation on August 18, 2018 at The Center, Burbank Blvd., North Holywood, California, USA;
 - c. Most Outstanding Filipino Patriot Award conferred by the Citizen National Guard during its 1st National Congress on July 14, 2018 at the Amoranto Multipurpose Hall, Gate 4, Scout Chuatoco, Quezon City; and,
 - d. Certificate of Appreciation (In recognition of her invaluable assistance and untiring support to the Australian Embassy in the Philippines), given by the said embassy on May 10, 2018 at the Public Attorney's Office-Central Office, Diliman, Quezon City.

Other Significant Accomplishments

a. Public Attorneys assisted 2,119 Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) in consonance with Republic Act No. 10951

As of 31 December 2018 and in total, public attorneys nationwide already assisted **2,119 PDLs** by pursuing the necessary legal remedy/ies, **189** of which have been released, **196** have benefited through reduction of their

sentences, **528** petitions were already filed, and **1,206** motions/ other remedies were filed/ undertaken.

b. PAO Forensic Team's re-autopsy on the remains of an aide of a former congressman, Richard Santillan

In consonance with Republic Act No. 9745 (Anti-Torture Act of 2009), in her letter to the Chief Public Attorney, dated December 14, 2018, Ms. Jeanette Santillan (Jeanette) requested for the re-autopsy of the lifeless body of her husband, Richard "Red" Santillan (Richard). Her husband was killed on December 10, 2018 during an alleged operation of the TDPO HPG PHPT, Rizal.

In connection with the said request, the PAO-Forensic Team proceeded to the Cosmopolitan Funeral Homes in Pasig City, where the re-autopsy was conducted. It was unveiled on re-autopsy that more than sixty (60) wounds were sustained by Richard, which indicated wounds resulting to torture such as: puncture wounds in the abdomen and back portion of his body; contusion, blunt trauma to the head; incised and lacerated wounds at the back of the victim; gunshot wounds sustained while he was still alive and those which were inflicted when he was already dead as there was no tissue reaction seen on his body.

c. Special Public Attorneys to PNP: Release important documents, Autopsy Report, Santillan's personal belongings, and let NBI conduct forensic examination and crime scene reconstruction

On December 18, 2018, the Special Public Attorneys who were assigned to Richard "Red" Santillan's (Richard) case sent a letter to PNP Director General Oscar David Albayalde, requesting for the release of

pertinent documents, which include the autopsy report, regarding the claimed legitimate operation which allegedly occurred at Block 17, Road 34 Planters, Westbank Floodway, Barangay San Andres, Cainta, Rizal by the TDPO HPG PHPT, Rizal, despite repeated demands of Richard's employer, former Congressman Glenn Chong and the return of Richard's personal belongings, such as cellphone, clothing (t-shirt and pants) and the other pair of his slipper. To date, the PAO has yet to receive a reply from the Office of Dir. Gen. Albayalde.

The subject vehicle, where Richard was riding at the time of the alleged police operation, was riddled with multiple bullets is still in the possession of the police operatives who conducted the alleged operation. The PAO recommended for the National Bureau of Investigation (NBI) to conduct a forensic examination on the said vehicle and also a crime scene reconstruction.

d. PAO, an ISO Certified Office

In November 2018, the PAO became an ISO Certified Office. It was issued a **Quality Management System** (QMS) (ISO 9001:2015) Certification by TUV Nord Philippines, Inc., an accredited certification body.

e. Former "kasambahay" was acquitted from a countercharge of her employer for violation of Republic Act No. 7610

Lirio Jestre was acquitted on Nov. 23, 2018 from the charges of Physical Injuries in relation to Republic Act No. 7610 by the Regional Trial Court of Mandaluyong, Branch 214, presided by Judge Imelda Portes-Saulog.

The case stemmed from a counter-charge filed by Jestre's employer-accused, Shiela Gomez, who was charged of a separate murder case of victim, Rochelle Lopez, whom she complained of maltreatment with the City Prosecutor's Office of Mandaluyong.

Jestre, a former kasambahay who was allegedly maltreated by her employer has been a client of the PAO since 2013.

f. Forensic examination and legal assistance rendered to the parents/relatives of the dead 106 Dengvaxia victims

The families of one hundred six (106) persons (as of December 31, 2018) who have all been inoculated with Dengvaxia vaccine and have died after mass indiscriminate inoculation without screening and blood test, sought the assistance of the Public Attorney's Office for forensic examinations and legal assistance. Their requests were acted accordingly by the Public Attorney's Office (PAO) and the PAO Forensic Team, pursuant to DOJ Order, dated December 12, 2017, issued by then Secretary of Justice Vitaliano N. Aguirre II.

g. Legal assistance rendered to 2,108 surviving Dengvaxia vaccinees

The families of two thousand one hundred eight (2,108) surviving Dengvaxia vaccinees (as of December 31, 2018) have also sought the PAO's legal assistance, and were provided with the same.